		No. VA
1 2	Marc R. Ascolese, <mascolese@sidley.com> (Ba SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104</mascolese@sidley.com>	ar No. 251397)
3	Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400	L'S E-Miggo
4	Counsel for Plaintiffs eBay Inc. and	
5	Microsoft Corporation	•
6	NOTE: Additional counsel listed on signature pa	ge
7		
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
$V/^{10}$	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION RS
11	i c	V10 4947
13		Case No.
14	eBay Inc. and Microsoft Corporation,	
15	Plaintiffs,)	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
16	vs.)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
17	PartsRiver, Inc.,	Date: November 2, 2010
18	Defendant.)	
19		·
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiffs eBay Inc. and Microsoft Corporation (collectively "Plaintiffs") allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff eBay Inc. ("eBay") is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 2065 Hamilton Avenue, San Jose, CA 95125.
- 2. Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") is a Washington corporation having its principal place of business at One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052.
- 3. Defendant PartsRiver, Inc. ("PartsRiver") is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 3155 Kearney Street, Suite 210, Fremont, CA 94538.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

4. This is a declaratory judgment action seeking a determination that each of the Plaintiffs does not infringe at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,275,821 under 35 U.S.C. § 271; that at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of this patent are invalid under at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, 112, and/or 305; and/or that each of the Plaintiffs has intervening rights to at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of this patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 252 and 307(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over PartsRiver because PartsRiver is doing business in, and has its principal place of business in, this Judicial District at 3155 Kearney Street, Suite 210, Fremont, CA 94538.
- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Plaintiffs' causes of action asserted here pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a), because those claims arise under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., and under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
 - 7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

8. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), this is an Intellectual Property Action that normally would be assigned on a district-wide basis. However, under Civil L.R. 3-12, this action is related to *PartsRiver, Inc., v. Shopzilla, Inc., et al.*, No. 4:09-cv-00811-CW ("the PartsRiver lawsuit") and thus should be assigned to Judge Wilken in the Oakland Division.

1 FACTUAL BACKGROUND 2 9. On information and belief, PartsRiver is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 3 6,275,821 ("the '821 patent"), which is entitled "Method and System for Executing a Guided 4 Parametric Search," and originally issued August 14, 2001. 5 10. The '821 patent expires on October 14, 2014. 6 11. On October 3, 2007, PartsRiver filed a civil action in the Eastern District of Texas 7 (No. 2:07-cv-440-DF) asserting that the Plaintiffs infringe the '821 patent. 8 12. Claim 2 of the '821 patent depends from claim 1. 9 13. On February 18, 2008, PartsRiver contended that the following websites are operated by eBay and utilize search processes or methods which infringe upon both claims 1 and 2 of the '821 10 11 patent: www.shopping.com 12 13 http://fr.shopping.com http://de.shopping.com 14 http://uk.shopping.com 15 http://au.shopping.com 16 17 www.dealtime.com 18 www.dealtime.com.uk www.ugenie.com 19 www.epinions.com 20 www.pricetool.com 21 www.express.ebay.com 22 On February 18, 2008, PartsRiver contended that the following websites are operated 23 14. by Microsoft and utilize search processes or methods which infringe upon both claims 1 and 2 of the 24 25 '821 patent: 26 shopping.msn.com http://shopping.sympatico.msn.ca/ 27 http://magasiner.sympatico.msn.ca/ 28

http://shopping.msn.co.jp/		
http://shopping.ninemsn.com.au/		
http://shopping.msn.fr/		
http://shopping.msn.nl/		
http://shopping.msn.de/		

http://shopping.msn.co.ul/

- 15. On December 22, 2008, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") determined that there was a substantial new question of patentability affecting claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent and thus ordered an *ex parte* reexamination of those claims (Reexamination Control No. 90/009,316).
- 16. On January 30, 2009, the Court in the Eastern District of Texas determined that "the Northern District of California would clearly be a more convenient venue" and thus transferred PartsRiver's action to this Court, where it was assigned to Judge Wilken as Civil Action No. 4:09-cv-00811-CW.
- 17. On May 28, 2009, eBay and Microsoft, along with other defendants in that case, filed with Judge Wilken a motion for summary judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent.
- 18. On June 18, 2009, an Examiner at the USPTO issued an Office Action finally rejecting claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Granacki et al., A Component Library Management System and Browser, ISI Research Report, ISI/RR-93-386, USC/Information Sciences Institute, April, 1993.
- 19. On August 21, 2009, Judge Wilken granted summary judgment that claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent were invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) due to the on-sale bar.
- 20. On September 18, 2009, PartsRiver appealed the Examiner's final rejection of claims 1 and 2 to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences ("BPAI").
- 21. On September 18, 2009, PartsRiver appealed Judge Wilken's summary judgment of invalidity to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

8

6

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

27. On October 22, 2010, eBay and Microsoft, along with other defendants in that case filed a brief opposing PartsRiver's motion to vacate Judge Wilken's judgment of invalidity. 28. claim 2, and the allowed new claim 9.

29. PartsRiver contends that the scope of reexamined claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent is legally identical to that of originally issued claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent.

30. Because PartsRiver: (a) asserted that the Plaintiffs infringed original claims 1 and 2 of the '821; (b) opposed the Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment that original claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent were invalid; (c) appealed to the Federal Circuit Judge Wilken's judgment that original claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent were invalid; and (d) has represented to the USPTO that

- 23. On April 14, 2010, eBay and Microsoft, along with other defendants in that case, filed a brief in the Federal Circuit arguing that Judge Wilken's summary judgment of invalidity should be affirmed.
- 24. On May 20, 2010, while its appeal before the BPAI was pending, PartsRiver requested entry of an amendment to claim 1, as well as entry of a new claim 9. In its remarks accompanying the amendment, PartsRiver stated:

The amendment of claim 1 presented herein adjusts the claim language of claim 1 to correspond to that of allowed claim 9. [1] Claim 1 is now believed to reflect, albeit explicitly, the legal scope of claim 1 as previously issued. As such, although the text of claim 1 has been altered by amendment, the claim scope is legally identical to that of originally issued claim 1. This change in language has been adopted for the sole purpose of terminating the present reexamination to avoid lengthy appeal proceedings.

- 25. On June 24, 2010, the Examiner dismissed the appeal to the BPAI and issued a Notice of Intent to Issue Reexamination Certificate ("NIRC") stating that the amended claim 1 and the new claim 9 were allowable.
- 26. On October 15, 2010, PartsRiver filed a motion in the Federal Circuit to dismiss its appeal and to vacate Judge Wilken's judgment of invalidity.
- On November 2, 2010, the USPTO issued a reexamination certificate for the '821 patent reflecting: the allowed amendment to claim 1, the confirmation of unamended dependent

the scope of reexamined claim 1 "is legally identical to that of originally issued claim 1," there is an

1

40. Under 35 U.S.C. § 252, ¶ 2 & § 307(b), each of the Plaintiffs is entitled to equitable intervening rights for the protection of investments made or business commenced before November 2, 2010, with respect to at least claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, each of the Plaintiffs prays for the following relief:

- A. A declaration that each Plaintiff has not infringed and is not infringing at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent;
- B. A declaration that at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent are invalid;
- C. A declaration that PartsRiver may not bring an action against any of the Plaintiffs for causes arising before November 2, 2010, with respect to at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent;
- D. A declaration that each of the Plaintiffs is entitled to absolute intervening rights with respect to at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent;
- E. A declaration that each of the Plaintiffs is entitled to equitable intervening rights with respect to at least reexamined claims 1 and 2 of the '821 patent;
- F. An order declaring that each Plaintiff is a prevailing party and that this is an exceptional case, awarding each Plaintiff its costs, expenses, disbursements, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and all other applicable statutes, rules, and common law;
 - G. That PartsRiver be ordered to pay all costs associated with this action; and
- H. That each Plaintiff be granted such other and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper

Case4:10-cv-04947-CW Document1 Filed11/02/10 Page8 of 12

	}}	
1 2	Details Named at 2, 2010	By: 1/1 //
3	Dated: November 2, 2010	Ву:
4		Marc R. Ascolese (Bar No. 251397) <mascolese@sidley.com></mascolese@sidley.com>
5		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000
6		San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200
7		Facsimile: (415) 772-7400
8		David T. Pritikin <dpritikin@sidley.com></dpritikin@sidley.com>
9		Richard A. Cederoth <rcederoth@sidley.com></rcederoth@sidley.com>
10		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One S. Dearborn Street
		Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7000
11		Facsimile: (312) 853-7036
12		Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. 219456) ctchandler@sidley.com >
13		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
14		Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 896-6000
15		Facsimile: (213) 896-6600
16		<sf-partsriver-ms-ebay@sidley.com></sf-partsriver-ms-ebay@sidley.com>
17		David E Villaugh (Par No. 110710)
18		David E. Killough (Bar No. 110719) com/davkill@microsoft.com/
19		MICROSOFT CORPORATION One Microsoft Way, 8/2076
20		Redmond, Washington 98052 Telephone: (425) 703-8865
21		Facsimile: (425) 869-1327
22		Counsel for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case4:10-cv-04947-CW Document1 Filed11/02/10 Page9 of 12

1		
2	Dated: November 2, 2010	By: 200
3		Marc R. Ascolese (Bar No. 251397) <mascolese@sidley.com></mascolese@sidley.com>
5		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104
6		Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400
7		David T. Pritikin
8		Richard A. Cederoth <rcederoth@sidley.com></rcederoth@sidley.com>
9		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One S. Dearborn Street
10		Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7000
12		Facsimile: (312) 853-7036
13		Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. 219456) <tchandler@sidley.com> SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP</tchandler@sidley.com>
14		555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013
15		Telephone: (213) 896-6000 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600
16		<sf-partsriver-ms-ebay@sidley.com></sf-partsriver-ms-ebay@sidley.com>
17		Counsel for Plaintiff eBay Inc.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, each of the Plaintiffs demands a trial by jury.

-9-

COMPLAINT

1 2 Dated: November 2, 2010 3 Marc R. Ascolese (Bar No. 251397) 4 <mascolese@sidley.com> SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 5 555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104 6 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 7 David T. Pritikin 8 <dpritikin@sidley.com> Richard A. Cederoth 9 <rcederoth@sidley.com> SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 10 One S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 11 Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036 12 Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. 219456) 13 <tchandler@sidley.com> SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 14 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 15 Telephone: (213) 896-6000 (213) 896-6600 Facsimile: 16 <SF-PartsRiver-MS-eBay@sidley.com> 17 18 David E. Killough (Bar No. 110719) <davkill@microsoft.com> 19 MICROSOFT CORPORATION One Microsoft Way, 8/2076 20 Redmond, Washington 98052 (425) 703-8865 Telephone: 21 (425) 869-1327 Facsimile: 22 Counsel for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 By: 2 Dated: November 2, 2010 3 Marc R. Ascolese (Bar No. 251397) <mascolese@sidley.com> 4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000 5 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 6 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 7 David T. Pritikin <dpritikin@sidley.com> 8 Richard A. Cederoth <rcederoth@sidley.com> 9 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One S. Dearborn Street 10 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7000 11 (312) 853-7036 Facsimile: 12 Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. 219456) <tchandler@sidley.com> 13 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 14 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 896-6000 15 (213) 896-6600 Facsimile: 16 <SF-PartsRiver-MS-eBay@sidley.com> 17 Counsel for Plaintiff eBay Inc. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28